UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,460	04/01/2002	Willem Johan Van Der Giessen	2005-1001	9285
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 08/18/200 <b>OMPSON</b>	EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			AZPURU, CARLOS A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/089,460	VAN DER GIESSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carlos A. Azpuru	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiling to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	av 2008					
	action is non-final.					
<del>'=</del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
. —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.	· ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 05/08/2008.

The rejection under 35 US 103(a) over Schneider et al is maintained in this action. The specifics of that rejection are found in the previous action.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05/08/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Schneider et al; describe coating of vascular graft material with ECM which includes the proteins of the claims. While the proteins are present, there is no disclosure of these constituents at the specific claimed concentrations.

While Schneider makes the statement of superior results over isolated constituents, applicant is incorrect in saying that it teaches away. The same result is indicated. However, Schneider et al make a strong case for the lack of unexpected results form the instant composition given their showing of superior results. No such comparative data is present in the instant application.

With regard to applicant's arguments concerning the enhanced probability of thrombosis, it is interesting that applicant's own specification suggests that like the composition of Schneider

Application/Control Number: 10/089,460 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

et al, the instant composition has a proliferative effect. However, while Schneider et al is

indicated as increasing the probability of thrombosis,

The instant composition is indicated as lowering this probability by through the healing power of

this proliferative effect (see page 2, line 15-21; page 3,lines 19-24).

With regard to arguments concerning the routine optimization of the values,

applicants apply case law. However, the fact pattern in the instant application differs. The

problem here however, it that one is never told what the natural percentage of each constituent is

in naturally occurring ECM. In this way, one would be able to judge whether the modification is

within the ordinary skill of the art or not. In the cited cases, there is knowledge as to the effects

of the individual constituents and their effects. Further, the unlike In re Aller, the only variable

here is that of concentration. Also unlike Merck, the individual constituents do not have additive

detrimental effects to be considered.

For these reasons, it is deemed that the rejection should be maintained.

The following is a new rejection of the claims:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Art Unit: 1615

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant claims a specific formulation of laminin, collagen Type IV, and heparin sulfate at specific percentages. However, the specification never sets out the naturally occurring percentage of each component. Without this information, it is impossible to convey that the applicant did not merely use the naturally occurring percentage of each. Clarification is requested.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carlos A. Azpuru/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615 Carlos A. Azpuru Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615

Caz